

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/671,007	09/25/2003	Wendy H. Raskind	UWOTL121680	8123	
26389 CHRISTENSE	7590 06/23/200 EN, O'CONNOR, JOHN	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1420 FIFTH AVENUE			JOHANNSEN, DIANA B		
SUITE 2800 SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1634		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/23/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.		Applicant(s)					
	10/671,007	RASKIND ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Diana B. Johannsen	1634					

	Diana B. Johannsen	1634							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED 01 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places application in condition for allowance: (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.131: or (3) a Reque for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.								
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.076	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension if have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final office action; or (, set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely finally reduced any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(4).									
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comm	liance with 27 CEP 41 27 must be	Fled within two months	of the date of						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>									
<ol> <li>∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>									
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or									
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a									
NOTE: Applicant has proposed amending the classociated mutations are identified and confirmed segregation analysis is performed "to determine we significantly broadening and changing the scope of the invention now being claimed is anticipated and	but rather to methods of screening hether" mutations are disease asso f the claims, necessitating further si	for such mutations w ciated. Thus, applica earch and considerati	<u>herein co-</u> nt has proposed						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.			PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•	-						
7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that is provided that is a status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	planation of						
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-6 and 43-46.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the date of filing a No	tice of Appeal will not	be entered						
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	•		•						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).									
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.									
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
11. \( \sum \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.									
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/Diana B. Johannsen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090618

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for the reasons of record in view of the non-entry of the after final amendment.